Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 STATE 275965

15

ORIGIN IO-02

INFO OCT-01 ARA-01 ISO-00 /004 R

666

DRAFTED BY: IO/UNP:WEHEWITT:BKM

APPROVED BY: IO:RREIS

----- 120631

P 211606Z NOV 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY GUATEMALA PRIORITY

UNCLAS STATE 275965

FOLLOWING MESSAGE SENT ACTION SECSTATE INFO SANTIAGO FROM USUN DTD 12 NOV 1975.

QTE UNCLAS USUN 5870

ATTENTION ASST. SECRETARY ROGERS

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: UNGA, SHUM, CI

SUBJECT: THIRD COMMITTEE VOTE ON CHILE RESOLUTION

- 1. AS INSTRUCTED PER BUFFUM/GARMENT TELECON NOVEMBER 11, US VOTED IN FAVOR OF DRAFT RESOLUTION ON PROTECTION OF HUMAN RIGHTS IN CHILE (L2172). THE RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED BY A VOTE OF 88(US)-11-20. TEXT OF US EXPLANATION OF VOTE DELIVERED BY LEONARD GARMENT IS REPEATED AT THE END OF THIS MESSAGE.
- 2. IN OTHER EXPLANATIONS OF VOTE, LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRIES OPPOSING THE RESOLUTION SINGLED OUT ITS SELECTIVITY WND ITS INTERFERNECE IN THE DOMESTIC AFFAIRS OF A SOVERIGN STATE. WEO COUNTRIES REAFFIRMED THE LEGITIMACY OF INTERNATIONAL CONCERN OVER THE VIOLATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS WHEREVER IT MAY OCCUR.
- 3. THE FOLLOWING IS A COUNTRY BY COUNTRY BREAKDOWN UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 275965

OF VOTE ON THE RESOLUTION:

A) THE FOLLOWING 88 COUNTRIES SUPPORTED THE RESOLUTION: AFGHANISTAN, ALGERIA, AUSTRALIA, AUSTRIA, BAHAMAS, BAHARAIN, BANGLADESH, BELGIUM, BHUTAN, BOTSWANA, BULGARIA, BURMA, BURUNDI, BYELORUSSIAN SSR, CANADA, COLOMBIA, CONGO, CUBA, CYPRUS, CZECHOSLOVAKIA, DAHOMEY, DEMOCRATIC YEMEN, DENMARK, ECUADOR, EQUATORIAL GUINEA, FINALND, FRANCE, GABON, GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY, CHANA, GREECE, GUINEA, GUINEA-BISSAU, BUYANA, HUNGARY, ICELAND, INDIA, IRAN, IRELAND, ITALY, IVORY COAST, JAMAICA, JORDAN, KENYA, KUWAIT, LAOS, LESOTHO, LIBYAN ARAB REPUBLIC, LUXEMBOURG, MADAGASCAR, MALI, MAURITANIA, MEXICO, MONGOLIA, MOZAMBIQUE, NETHERLANDS, NEW ZEALAND, NIGERIA, NORWAY, PAKISTAN, PHILIPPINES, POLAND, PORTUGAL, QUATAR, ROMANIA, RWANDA, SENEGAL, SRI LANKA, SUDAN, SWAZILAND, SWEDEN, SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC, THAILAND, TOGO, TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO, TUNISIA, TURKEY, UKRAINIAN SSR, UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REOUBLICS, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES, UNITED KINGDOM, UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA, UNITED STATES, UPPER VOLTA, YEMEN, YUGOSLAVIA, ZAMBIA.

B) THE FOLLOWING 11 COUNTRIES OPPOSED THE RESOLUTION: ARGENTINA, BOLIBIA, BRAZIL, CHILE, DOMINICAN REPUBLIC, EL SALVADOR, GUATEMALA, NICARAGUA, PANAMA, PARAGUAY, URUGUAY.

C) THE FOLLOWING 20 COUNTRIES ABSTAINED ON THE RESOLUTION: BARBADOS, COSTA RICA, EGYPT, FIJI, HONDURAS, INDONESIA, JAPAN, LEBANON, LIBERIA, MALAYSIA, NEPAL, NIGER, PAPUA NEW GUINEA, SAUDI ARABIA, SINGAPORE, SPAIN, UGANDA, UNITED REPUBLIC FO CAMEROON, VENEZUELA, ZAIRE.

D) THE FOLLOWING 21 COUNTRIES WERE ABSENT FOR THE VOTE: ALBANIA, CAMBODIA, CAPE VERDE, CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC, ETHIOPIA, GAMBIA, GRENADA, HAITI, IRAQ, ISRAEL, MALAWI, MALDIVES, MALTA, MAURITIUS, MOROCCO, OMAN, PERU, SAO TOME AND PRINCIPE, SIERRA LEONE, SOMALIA, SOUTH AFRICA.

QUOTE

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 STATE 275965

STATEMENT BY LEONARD GARMENT, UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE, IN COMMITTEE THREE, ON RESOLUTION DEALING WITH HUMAN RIGHTS IN CHILE, NOVEMBER 11, 1975

MR. CHAIRMAN, THE UNITED STATES WILL VOTE FOR THE RESOLUTION BEFORE US. THE VOTE OF THE UNITED STATES DELEGATION IN FAVOR OF THE DRAFT RESOLUTION DELAING WITH THE PROTECTION OF HUMAN RIGHTS IN CHILE IS A VOTE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, A VOTE IN

SUPPORT OF THE PURPOSE ASSIGNED TO THIS ORGANIZATION UNDER THE C-4534 "TO ACHIEVE INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION IN PROMOTING
AND ENCOURAGING RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS AND FOR
FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOMS FOR ALL..." OUR VOTE REFLECTS DEEP
CONCERN OVER REPORTS WHICH CONTINUE TO COME TO THIS ORGANIZATION FROM
MANY CREDIBLE SOURCES ABOUT VIOLATIONS OF BASIC HUMAN
RIGHTS TAKING PLACE IN CHILE. MY GOVERNMENT IS OF THE OPINION THAT THESE REPORTS DESERVE TO BE ADDRESSED BY APPROPRIATE UN ACTION.
IT WAS BECAUSE OF OUR DESIRE TO FIND THE BEST MEANS

IT WAS BECAUSE OF OUR DESIRE TO FIND THE BEST MEANS FOR BRINGING THE INFLUENCE OF WORLD OPINION TO BEAR IN A POSITIVE WAY ON THAT SITUATION THAT MY GOVERNMENT GAVE ITS STRONG SUPPORT TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF AN AD HOC WORKING GROUP BY THE UN COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS. THE PRIMISE OF THAT GOVERNMENT TO WELCOME THE VISIT OF THE AD HOC WORKING GROUP TO CHILE WAS, WE THOUGHT, A HOPEFUL SIGN THAT MORE RAPID PROGRESS IN BRINGING ABOUT AN IMPROVEMENT OF THE SITUATION THERE WOULD OCCUR. CONSEQUENTLY, MY GOVERNMENT WAS DEE-PLY DISAPPOINTED THAT THE VISIT OF THE WORKING GROUP TO CHILE DID NOT TAKE PLACE. THE POSITION WE TAKE TODAY HAS BEEN GREATLY INFLUENCED BY THAT DEVELOPMENT. I SHOULD POINT OUT THAT, UNLIKE OTHER DRAFTS ON THE SITUATION IN CHILE WHICH HAVE BEEN INFORMALLY CINCULATED AND TO WHICH MY DELEGATION HAS OBJECTED BECAUSE OF THEIR OBJECTION-ABLE INTERVENTION IN THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS OF THE GOVERNMENT, THE DRAFT RESOLUTION BEFORE US DRAWS ATTENTION TO SPECIFIC PROVISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENTS TO WHICH CHILE AS WELL AS OTHER MEMBERS OF THE UNITED NATIONS ARE PARTIES. THESE INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENTS ARE AMONG THE MOST IMPORTANT ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE ORGANIZATION DURING ITS EXISTENCE. WE REGARD THIS RESOLUTION AS A POSITIVE ATTEMPT TO GIVE MEAING TO THESE HUMAN RIGHTS INSTRUMENTS THROUGH ITS CALL ON THE CHILEAN AUTHORITIES TO GIVE FULL RESPECT TO THEM.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 STATE 275965

MR CHAIRMAN, HAVING NOTED THE REASONS WHY MY GOVERNMENT HAS VOTED FOR THIS RESOLUTION, I WISH TO RECORD SOME OF THE DOUBTS WE HAVE HAD ABOUT THE RESOLUTION. FIRST OF ALL, WE HAVE BEEN HESITANT ABOUT THE APPROACH EMBODIED IN THE RESOLUTION WHICH FOCUSES SPECIFICALLY UPON CERTAIN CONDITIONS WHICH ARE STATED TO EXIST IN THAT COUNTRY. I REITERATE OUR CONCERN THAT RESOLUTIONS OF THIS NATURE MUST NOT GO TOO FAR IN THEIR PRESCRIPTION OF SPECIFIC MEASURES OF CORRECTION. WE RAISE THIS POINT TO DRAW ATTENTION TO THE IMPORTANCE OF AVOIDING ACTIONS WHICH CWN BE SEEN AS ATTEMPTING TO INVOLVE THE ORGANIZATION TOO DIRECTLY IN MATTERS OF DOMESTIC CONCERN AS AGAINST RESOLUTIONS WHICH CORRECTLY APPLY AGREED HUMAN RIGHTS PRINCIPLES. WE ALSO NOTE THAT THIS ASSEMBLY BY THIS RESOLUTION SINGLES OUT FOR PARTICULAR ATTENTION THE HUMAN RIGHTS SITUATION IN ONE COUNTRY. THIS

LEAVES THE IMPRESSION THAT THE UNITED NATIONS IS WILLING TO OVERLOOK SITUATIONS INVOLVING GROSS VIOLATIONS OF HUMAN RIGHTS IN

OTHER COUNTRIES. SOME OF WHICH ARE AMONG THE LOUDEST AND MOST BELLIGERENT CRITICS OF CHILE. WE OBJECT TO THIS APPROACH BECAUSE IT IS NOT EVENHANDED. TO PUT IN BLUNTLY, FOR SOME IT IS AND ACT OF BLATENT HYPOCRISY. IN OUR VIEW THE UNITED NATIONS SHOULD ADDRESS PROBLEMS OF THIS NATURE IN A MORE GENERAL FASHION WHICH WILL EMPHASIZE THE NEED TO PROTECT HUMAN RIGHTS ON A WORLDWIDE BASIS RATHER THAN ADOPT A SELECTIVE AND NECESSARILY ONE-SIDED APPROACH. HOWEVER, WHILE WE REJECT AND ABHOR THE FLAGRANT APPLICATION OF A DOUBLE STANDARD IN THE FIELD OF HUMAN RIGHTS, WE CANNOT SAY THAT THE UNITED NATIONS SHOULD REMAIN SILENT IN THE CASE OF CHILE. MY DELEGATION REACHED ITS DECISION TO VOTE FOR THIS RESOLUTION AFTER A CAREFUL WEIGHING OF THE RESOLUTION'S POSITIVE AND NEG-ATIVE ASPECTS. WE CAST OUR VOTE IN THE MANNER WE HOPE WILL HAVE THE BEST INFLUENCE IN PROMITING THE CAUSE OF HUMAN RIGHTS. WE ARE HOPEFUL THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF CHILE WILL TAKE HEED OF THE STRONG CONCERN IN THE WORLD WHICH THE VOTE ON THIS RESOLUTION REFLECTS. WE HOPE THAT ITS ADOPTION WILL STRENLTHEN RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS IN CHILE. A RESPECT WHICH HAS CHARAC-TERIZED THE LONG AND PROUD TRADITION OF THE CHILEAN PEOPLE. WE TRUST IT WILL STRENGTHEN THE CAUSE OF HUMAN RIGHTS EVERY-WHERE.

UNQUOTE

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 05 STATE 275965

MOYNIHAN UNQTE KISSINGER

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: HUMAN RIGHTS, INSTRUCTIONS, UNGA RESOLUTIONS, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION Control Number: n/a

Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 21 NOV 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: n/a Disposition Approved on Date: Disposition Authority: n/a Disposition Case Number: n/a Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:

Document Number: 1975STATE275965
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: IO/UNP:WEHEWITT:BKM

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A

Film Number: D750407-0429

From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19751124/aaaaaupx.tel Line Count: 202 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ORIGIN IO Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: n/a Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: izenbei0

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 14 OCT 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <14 OCT 2003 by buchantr>; APPROVED <28 JAN 2004 by izenbei0>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: THIRD COMMITTEE VOTE ON CHILE RESOLUTION

TAGS: SHUM, PORG, CI, US, UNGA

To: GUATEMALA

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006